Principles of Appearance Acquisition and Representation

Tim Weyrich
Princeton University

Jason Lawrence
University of Virginia

Hendrik P. A. Lensch
MPI Informatik

Szymon Rusinkiewicz
Princeton University

Todd Zickler
Harvard University

Radiometry and Appearance Models

Szymon Rusinkiewicz
Princeton University

The Visual World

Rich variety of materials: characterized by surface reflectance, scattering



Understanding Reflectance



for each position:
  for each direction of incident light:
    for each reflected direction:
      how much light is reflected?

Understanding Scattering



for each incident position:
  for each exitant position:
    how much light is scattered?

Motivation

- Understanding appearance models aids in:
  - Image interpretation
  - 3D reconstruction from images
  - Understanding human material perception
  - Image-based view and lighting interpolation
  - Image synthesis

Overview

- Radiometry and Radiometric Units
- BRDF properties and common BRDFs
- Subsurface scattering
- Taxonomy of reflection and scattering functions

Radiometric Units

- Light is a form of energy – measured in Joules (J)
- Power: energy per unit time
  - Measured in Joules/sec = Watts (W)
  - Also called Radiant Flux (Φ)

Point Light in a Direction

- Total radiant flux in Watts
- How to define angular dependence?
  - Solid angle



Digression – Solid Angle

- Angle in radians



- Solid angle in steradians



Point Light in a Direction

- Total radiant flux in Watts
- How to define angular dependence?
  - Solid angle



- Radiant flux per unit solid angle
  - Measured in Watts per steradian (W/sr)

Light Falling on a Surface

- Power per unit area - Irradiance (E)
  - Measured in W/m^2
- Move surface away from light
  - Inverse square law: $E \sim 1/r^2$



- Tilt surface away from light
  - Cosine law: $E \sim \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{l}$



Light Emitted from a Surface in A Direction

- Power per unit area per unit solid angle - Radiance (L)
  - Measured in $W/m^2/sr$
  - *Projected area* - perpendicular to given direction



$$L = \frac{d\Phi}{dA\, d\omega}$$

  - Cameras (and our eyes) "see" radiance

Surface Reflectance – BRDF

- Bidirectional Reflectance Distribution Function

$$f_r(\omega_i \rightarrow \omega_o) = \frac{dL_o(\omega_o)}{dE_i(\omega_i)}$$

- 4-dimensional function: also written as

$$f_r(\theta_i, \phi_i, \theta_o, \phi_o) = \frac{dL_o(\omega_o)}{dE_i(\omega_i)}$$

F. E. Nicodemus, J. C. Richmond, J. J. Hsia, and I. W. Ginsberg, *Geometrical Considerations and Nomenclature for Reflectance*, Boulder CO: National Bureau of Standards, 1977.

BRDF

- Radiance/irradiance ratio
  - Directional exitant radiance distribution
  - For each direction of incident irradiance



BRDF

- Radiance/irradiance ratio
  - Directional exitant radiance distribution
  - For each direction of incident irradiance



BRDF

- Radiance/irradiance ratio
  - Directional exitant radiance distribution
  - For each direction of incident irradiance



Properties of the BRDF

- Energy conservation:

$$\int_{\Omega} f_r \cos\theta_o \, d\omega_o \leq 1$$

- Helmholtz reciprocity:

$$f_r(\omega_i \rightarrow \omega_o) = f_r(\omega_o \rightarrow \omega_i)$$

Isotropy

- A BRDF is isotropic if it stays the same when surface is rotated around normal



- Isotropic BRDFs are 3-dimesional functions:

$$f_r(\theta_i, \theta_o, \phi_i - \phi_o)$$

Anisotropy

- Anisotropic BRDFs do depend on surface rotation



Lambertian BRDF

- Constant BRDF: ideal diffuse reflectance



$$f_r = const. = \frac{\rho}{\pi}$$



Blinn-Phong BRDF

- Simple BRDF describing specular reflection



$$f_r = \frac{\rho}{\pi} + k_s (n \cdot h)^a$$



Torrance-Sparrow BRDF

- Physically-based BRDF model
  - Originally used in the physics community

$$f_r = \frac{D\,G\,F}{\pi \cos\theta_i \cos\theta_o}$$

- Assume surface consists of tiny “microfacets” with mirror reflection off each



Torrance-Sparrow BRDF

- D term is distribution of microfacets (i.e., how many are pointing in each direction)
- Beckmann distribution

$$D = \frac{e^{-[(\tan\beta)/m]^2}}{4m^2\cos^4\beta}$$

β is angle between n and h
h is halfway between l and v
m is "roughness" parameter



Torrance-Sparrow BRDF

- *G* term accounts for self-shadowing

$$G = \min\left\{1, \frac{2(n\cdot h)(n\cdot v)}{(v\cdot h)}, \frac{2(n\cdot h)(n\cdot l)}{(v\cdot h)}\right\}$$



Torrance-Sparrow BRDF

- F is Fresnel term – reflection from an ideal smooth surface (solution of Maxwell's equations)
- Consequence: most surfaces reflect (much) more strongly near grazing angles



Dielectric



Metal

(note behavior at Brewster's angle)

Other BRDF Features

- BRDFs for dusty surfaces scatter light towards grazing angles



Other BRDF Features

- Retroreflection: strong reflection back towards the light source



- Can arise from bumpy diffuse surfaces



- … or from corner reflectors

Complex BRDF Models

Analytic

[Cook & Torrance 1982]

Measured

[Matusik et al. 2003]

The SVBRDF: 6D

Spatially-

Varying

Bi-Directional

Reflectance

Distribution

Function



Translucent Materials

Surface reflection only

With subsurface scattering

Subsurface Scattering

- Translucency: light no longer leaves surface at point of incidence
  - Not a BRDF!



The BSSRDF

- The Bidirectional Scattering-Surface Reflection Distribution Function

$$S(x_i, y_i, \theta_i, \phi_i, x_o, y_o, \theta_o, \phi_o)$$

  - Generalization of spatially-varying BRDF

BSSRDF Simplification

- BSSRDF often dominated by multiple scattering
- Accurately modeled by diffusion approximation

$$S' = F'(\theta_i)\, R(\| x_i - x_o \|)\, F'(\theta_o)$$

  - Angular behavior described by Fresnel equations
  - Spatial behavior equivalent to a dipole



BSSRDF Dipole Model

Surface reflection only

With subsurface scattering

[Wann Jensen et al. 2001]

BSSRDF: Homogeneous

- Homogeneous: uniform material





BSSRDF: Heterogeneous

- Homogeneous: uniform material
- Heterogeneous: spatially-varying materials





Heterogeneous Scattering



Generalizing Further

- Many additional effects could be incorporated into appearance functions: add 1 dimension for each
  - Wavelength
  - Fluorescence
  - Time dependence
  - Phosphorescence

Appearance Taxonomy



Principles of Acquisition

Todd Zickler
Harvard University

Outline

1. 5D: Homogeneous Reflectance (BRDF)
2. 7D: Spatially-varying Reflectance (SV-BRDF)
3. 9D: Subsurface Scattering (BSSRDF)
4. Calibration
5. Open problems

Balancing Needs

1. (Per-object) Acquisition Time
2. Accuracy and Precision
3. Cost
4. Generality: how broad is the class of surfaces being considered?

Homogeneous Reflectance

- BRDF: Five dimensional domain

$$f(\lambda, \vec{\omega_i}, \vec{\omega_o}) = f(\lambda, \theta_i, \phi_i, \theta_o, \phi_o)$$

- Isotropic BRDF: Four dimensional domain

$$f(\lambda, \theta_i, \theta_o, |\phi_i - \phi_o|)$$

BRDF: Measurement Scale

- One measures *averages* of the BRDF over finite intervals of space and angle.
- The measurement scale must be appropriate for the BRDF model to be valid.



The Gonioreflectometer

Four-axis gonioreflectometer



Fig. 1. Coordinate system for defining the BRDF.



[White et al., 1998]

The Gonioreflectometer

Three-axis gonioreflectometer

- Isotropic BRDF
- 1000 angular samples
- 31 spectral samples
- ~10 hours per BRDF



[Li et al., 2005]

Image-based measurement: planar

- Camera: Observe multiple output angles simultaneously
- Trade precision (and accuracy?) for efficiency



[Ward, 1992]

Image-based measurement: curved



[Matusik et al., 2003]
[http://www.merl.com/brdf/]



[Marschner, 1998; Lu et al., 1998]

Image-based measurement: curved



[Ngan et al., 2005]

Image-based measurement: general



[Marschner et al., 1999]

Outline

1. 5D: Homogeneous Reflectance (BRDF)
2. 7D: Spatially-varying Reflectance (SV-BRDF)
3. 9D: Subsurface Scattering (BSSRDF)
4. Calibration
5. Open problems

Spatially-varying Reflectance

- SV-BRDF: Seven dimensional domain

$$f(\lambda, \vec{x}, \vec{\omega_i}, \vec{\omega}_o) = f(\lambda, x, y, \theta_i, \phi_i, \theta_o, \phi_o)$$

- Isotropic SV-BRDF: Six dimensional domain

$$f(\lambda, x, y, \theta_i, \theta_o, |\phi_i - \phi_o|)$$

Planar Surfaces: The Spatial Gonioreflectometer

Three-axis spatial gonioreflectometer



[Dana et al., 1997; McAllister, 2002]

Planar Surfaces: The Spatial Gonioreflectometer

- Can use catadioptrics to re-sort light rays and exchange spatial and angular resolution.





[Dana et al., 2004]

Planar Surfaces: The Spatial Gonioreflectometer

- Can use catadioptrics to re-sort light rays and exchange spatial and angular resolution.







[Dana et al., 2004]

Curved Surfaces

- Many interesting surfaces are not planar.
- Non-planar shapes can be used, provided the shape is known.



[Stanford Spherical Gantry]

A Counting Argument





5° sampling:	1,000,000 images	$>10^6$ MB
1° sampling:	625,000,000 images	$>10^9$ MB

A Counting Argument

Reduce acquisition time by:

1. Designing efficient acquisition systems
2. Using parametric BRDF models
3. Exploiting common reflectance phenomena

5° sampling:	1,000,000 images	$>10^6$ MB
1° sampling:	625,000,000 images	$>10^9$ MB

Acquisition Systems



[Stanford Graphics]

[USC-ICT]



[MERL]

Parametric Approaches

- Pro: requires estimating only a handful of parameters at each surface point.
- Con: requires choice of specific parametric family (Oren-Nayar, Torrance-Sparrow, Phong,…)



$$f_{\vec{x}}(\hat{i},\hat{e}) \leftarrow f_{\vec{x}}(\vec{\alpha}_{\vec{x}};\hat{i},\hat{e})$$

Parametric Approaches

- Some parametric approaches:
  - [Sato, Wheeler, Ikeuchi, 1997]
  - [Yu et al., 1999]
  - [Boivin, Gagalowicz, 2001]
  - [Lensch, et al., 2001]
  - [McAllister, Lastra, Heidrich, 2002]
  - [Georghiades, 2003]
  - [Goldman et al., 2005]
  - …

General Reflectance Properties

- Common reflectance phenomena
  - Isotropy: from a function of 6D to one of 5D
  - Reciprocity: cuts the angular domain in half
  - Compressibility: slowly varying over much of the angular domain
  - Separability: distinct diffuse and specular components
  - Spatial regularity: correlation between reflectance at distinct surface points on an object

General Reflectance Properties

- Isotropy, reciprocity, separability are commonly exploited
- Compressibility
  - Implicit in parametric approaches; used in non-parametric approaches as well (e.g., [Zickler et al., 2006])
- Spatial regularity
  - Finite BRDF basis exploited mainly by parametric methods (e.g., [Lensch et al., 2001], [Goldman et al. 2005]).
  - Slow spatial variation exploited in both parametric (e.g., [Sato, Wheeler, Ikeuchi, 1997]) and non-parametric (e.g., [Zickler et al., 2006]) approaches

Separability (Dichromatic Model)

$$\mathbf{I}_{RGB} = (\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{i}})\mathbf{D}$$

$$D_k = \int E(\lambda)R(\lambda)C_k(\lambda)d\lambda$$









[Shafer, 1985]

Separability (Dichromatic Model)

$$\mathbf{I}_{RGB} = (\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{i}})\mathbf{D}$$

$$D_k = \int E(\lambda)R(\lambda)C_k(\lambda)d\lambda$$



[Shafer, 1985]

Separability (Dichromatic Model)

$$\mathbf{I}_{RGB} = (\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{i}})\mathbf{D} + f(\hat{\mathbf{n}}, \hat{\mathbf{i}}, \hat{\mathbf{v}})\mathbf{S}$$

$$D_k = \int E(\lambda)R(\lambda)C_k(\lambda)d\lambda$$

$$S_k = \int E(\lambda)C_k(\lambda)d\lambda$$









[Shafer, 1985]

“Separable” Materials

[Tominga and Wandell, 1989; Healey, 1989; Lee et al., 1990]

Implications for Acquisition

=

DIFFUSE



- Approx. Lambertian
- Rapid spatial variation
- Randomly polarized

+

SPECULAR

- Non-Lambertian
- Slow spatial variation
- Monochromatic
- Partially polarized

Example: Reflectance Sharing





$a_{RGB}(x, y)$



[Zickler et al., 2006]

Example: Reflectance Sharing

Exploits:

- Separability
- Isotropy/Reciprocity
- Compressibility
- Slow spatial variation



[Zickler et al., 2006]

Example: Reflectance Sharing



[Zickler et al., 2006]

Example: Reflectance Sharing





[Zickler et al., 2006]

Example: Reflectance Sharing



[Zickler et al., 2006]

Outline

1. 5D: Homogeneous Reflectance (BRDF)
2. 7D: Spatially-varying Reflectance (SV-BRDF)
3. 9D: Subsurface Scattering (BSSRDF)
4. Calibration
5. Open problems

Subsurface Scattering

- BSSRDF:

$$S(\lambda, \vec{x}_i, \vec{\omega}_i, \vec{x}_o, \vec{\omega}_o)$$

- Homogeneous, multiple scattering:

$$F_t(\eta, \vec{\omega}_i) R(\lambda, ||\vec{x}_i - \vec{x}_o||) F_t(\eta, \vec{\omega}_o)$$

BSSRDF

$$F_t(\eta, \vec{\omega}_i) R(\lambda, ||\vec{x}_i - \vec{x}_o||) F_t(\eta, \vec{\omega}_o)$$



[Jensen et al., 2001]



BSSRDF

Material	σ_s' [mm^{-1}]			σ_a [mm^{-1}]			Diffuse Reflectance			η
	R	G	B	R	G	B	R	G	B	
Apple	2.29	2.39	1.97	0.0030	0.0034	0.046	0.85	0.84	0.53	1.3
Chicken1	0.15	0.21	0.38	0.015	0.077	0.19	0.31	0.15	0.10	1.3
Chicken2	0.19	0.25	0.32	0.018	0.088	0.20	0.32	0.16	0.10	1.3
Cream	7.38	5.47	3.15	0.0002	0.0028	0.0163	0.98	0.90	0.73	1.3
Ketchup	0.18	0.07	0.03	0.061	0.97	1.45	0.16	0.01	0.00	1.3
Marble	2.19	2.62	3.00	0.0021	0.0041	0.0071	0.83	0.79	0.75	1.5
Potato	0.68	0.70	0.55	0.0024	0.0090	0.12	0.77	0.62	0.21	1.3
Skimmilk	0.70	1.22	1.90	0.0014	0.0025	0.0142	0.81	0.81	0.69	1.3
Skin1	0.74	0.88	1.01	0.032	0.17	0.48	0.44	0.22	0.13	1.3
Skin2	1.09	1.59	1.79	0.013	0.070	0.145	0.63	0.44	0.34	1.3
Spectralon	11.6	20.4	14.9	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.3
Wholemilk	2.55	3.21	3.77	0.0011	0.0024	0.014	0.91	0.88	0.76	1.3



[Jensen et al., 2001]

BSSRDF

$$S(\lambda, \vec{x}_i, \vec{\omega}_i, \vec{x}_o, \vec{\omega}_o) = f_i(\vec{\omega}_i) R_d(\vec{x}_i, \vec{x}_o) f_o(\vec{x}_o, \vec{\omega}_o)$$





[Tong et al., 2005]

BSSRDF

$$S(\lambda, \vec{x}_i, \vec{\omega}_i, \vec{x}_o, \vec{\omega}_o) = f_i(\vec{\omega}_i) R_d(\vec{x}_i, \vec{x}_o) f_o(\vec{x}_o, \vec{\omega}_o)$$



Figure 6: Acquired physical samples. Top row: Lamp images; Middle row: Mesostructure exiting functions; Bottom row: Local reflectance functions.



[Tong et al., 2005]

Outline

1. 5D: Homogeneous Reflectance (BRDF)
2. 7D: Spatially-varying Reflectance (SV-BRDF)
3. 9D: Subsurface Scattering (BSSRDF)
4. Calibration
5. Open problems

Radiometric Calibration

- Camera
  - Response function and high dynamic range (HDR)
  - Optical fall-off
  - Spectral filters
  - Thermal noise
- Source
  - Temporal variation
  - Spatio-angular non-uniformity
  - Spectral composition

Geometric Calibration

- Camera parameters (intrinsic/extrinsic)
- Source direction
- Surface Shape
  - Surface normals (Photometric stereo; Helmholtz stereo)
  - Independent of reflectance
  - Same images used for shape and reflectance



[Yamazaki et al., 2007]

Some Open Problems

- Reflectance under complex lighting.
  Automatic scale selection.
- SV-BRDF acquisition as an inference problem.
  What are the priors?
- Increased spectral resolution.
- Acquisition (inference) in complex lighting environments.
  [Dror 2001, Ramamoorthi and Hanrahan 2001]
- Combined shape and reflectance acquisition.

Spatially-Varying BRDF Models

Jason Lawrence
University of Virginia

A Spatially-Varying BRDF

$$S(u, v, \omega_i, \omega_o)$$

A Spatially-Varying BRDF

$S(u_1, v_1, \omega_i, \omega_o)$

$S(u_0, v_0, \omega_i, \omega_o)$

Talk Outline

- acquisition
- representations
- future directions

Acquisition



Acquisition

. . .

Outline

- acquisition
- **representations**
- future directions

Representation

- goals
  - compact
  - editable
  - sampling
- challenges
  - scattered data
  - dimensionality
  - massive datasets

Representation

- goals
  - compact
  - editable
  - sampling
- challenges
  - scattered data
  - dimensionality
  - massive datasets

Goal

- **input: large set of reflectance measurements**
- **representation that is compact and editable**

input measurements
(1000s of images)

result of editing
material properties

Strategy: Basis Decomposition

$$S(u, v, \omega_i, \omega_o, \lambda) \approx \sum_{k=1}^{K} T_k(u, v) \rho_k(\omega_i, \omega_o, \lambda)$$

Strategy: Basis Decomposition

≈

x



+



x

+



x

$$S(u, v, \omega_i, \omega_o, \lambda) \approx \sum_{k=1}^{K} T_k(u, v) \rho_k(\omega_i, \omega_o, \lambda)$$

Strategy: Basis Decomposition

$\approx$



$\times$ + $\times$ + $\times$

$$S(u, v, \omega_i, \omega_o, \lambda) \approx \sum_{k=1}^{K} T_k(u, v) \rho_k(\omega_i, \omega_o, \lambda)$$

General Strategy

- **parametric**
  - **fit parametric BRDF model**
  - **cluster**
  - **reproject onto basis**
- **non-parametric**
  - **tabulate the reflectance data**
  - **cast as matrix factorization**
  - **place constraints on factors**

Acquisition

Lensch, H., Kautz, J., Goesele, M., Heidrich, W., Seidel, H.-P.
Image-Based Reconstruction Spatial Appearance
ACM Transactions on Graphics 22(3), 2003

Registration



silhouette-based alignment procedure

Fitting Lafortune Parameters



$$\rho(\vec{l},\vec{v}) = k_d + \sum_i [C_{x,i}(l_x v_x + l_y v_y) + C_{z,i} l_z v_z]^{N_i}$$

Clustering



split / refine

Reprojection



Goldman et al. 2005

one input image



normal map



blending weights +
basis BRDFs (Ward)

reconstruction

Goldman, D., Curless, B., Hertzmann, A., Seitz, S.
Shape and Spatially Varying BRDFs from Photometric Stereo
Proceedings of ICCV 2005.

General Strategy

- parametric
  - fit parametric BRDF model
  - cluster
  - reproject onto basis
- non-parametric
  - tabulate the reflectance data
  - cast as matrix factorization
  - place constraints on factors

Wallpaper Dataset



Inverse Shade Trees



Lawrence, J., Ben-Artzi, A., DeCoro, C., Matusik, W., Pfister, H., Ramamoorthi, R., Rusinkiewicz, S.
Inverse Shade Tree Framework for Non-Parametric Material Representation and Editing
Proceedings of SIGGRAPH 2006.

Inverse Shade Tree Framework

Shade Trees
Cook 1984



Inverse Shade Tree Framework

Shade Trees
Cook 1984

Inverse
Shade Trees



Inverse Shade Tree Framework

decomposition at each level is cast as matrix factorization



Tabulate Raw Data



Tabulate Raw Data

$S(u, v, \vec{V}, \vec{L})$



(u, v)

$\leftarrow (\vec{V}, \vec{L}) \rightarrow$



Factor SBRDF



6D SVBRDF









6D SVBRDF









2D Spatial
Blending Weights

6D SVBRDF









4D Basis
BRDFs

Research Challenge

providing an intuitive factorization:



≈





Key Idea

incorporate domain-specific knowledge as constraints of factorization:



≈

Factorization Constraints

- **non-negativity: reflectance functions are non-negative**
- **sparsity: few BRDFs at each position**
- **domain-specific:**
  - **energy conservation, monotonicity, etc.**

Factorization Algorithms

algorithm	**properties**			
	linear	positive	sparse	domain
PCA	✓	✗	✗	✗
clustering	✗	✓	✓	✗
NMF	✓	✓	✓	✗
our method	✓	✓	✓	✓

Alternating Constrained LS



1. Initialize W and H
2. Update W
3. Update H
4. Iterate until convergence

Alternating Constrained LS



convex QP problem

$$\min_{\vec{w}} \|\vec{v} - \vec{w}H\|^2$$

$$\vec{l} \leq \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \vec{w}^T \\ A\vec{w}^T \end{array} \right\} \leq \vec{u}$$

1. Initialize W and H
2. Update W
3. Update H
4. Iterate until convergence

Reflectance Constraints

- **non-negativity**
  - **constraint on value**
- **energy conservation**
  - **constraint on sum**
- **monotonicity**
  - **constraint on derivative**

$$\vec{l} \leq \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \vec{w}^T \\ A\vec{w}^T \end{array} \right\} \leq \vec{u}$$

Measure of Sparsity



$$E_{sparse} = \sum_{i \neq j} w_i$$

$$w_j \geq w_i, i = 1...\mathrm{K}$$



Measure of Sparsity



$$E_{sparse} = \sum_{i \neq j} w_i$$

$$w_j \geq w_i, i = 1...\mathrm{K}$$



Season's Greetings Dataset

5 Camera Positio tions ~ 1,750 Images



Gold Foil Silver Foil White Paper Blue Paper

Season's Greetings Dataset

Factorization Computed with ACLS (4 Terms)

Silver Foil

Gold Foil



White Paper

Blue Paper

Wood+Tape Dataset

12 Camera Positions x 480 Light Positions = 6,000 Images



Oak Wood (Anisotropic)



Semi-Transparent Tape

Retroreflective Bicycle Tape

Wood+Tape Dataset

Blending Weights from ACLS (5 Terms)



Scotch Tape | Dark Grain | Light Grain | Red Bicycle | White Bicycle

SVD



0.014
(RMS)

NMF



0.015

k-means

0.029

ACLS



0.022

6D SVBRDF



• • •





2D blending weights





4D basis BRDFs

6D SVBRDF

. . .





2D blending weights



4D basis BRDFs

BRDF
4D

reparameterization
and factorization



"lobes"

single-term
factorization



curves
1D

specular highlight

grazing effects



BRDF
4D

"lobes"

curves
1D

original

BRDF
4D



“lobes”



curves
1D



sharper

edits at leaf
propagate
up the tree


Σ
X
Σ
Σ
X
θ_h (=H·N)
θ_d (=H·V)


SIGGRAPH Shade Tree Viewer/Editor
Scale:
0.595
Gamma:
2.000
Material #1
Term #1
Term #2
Term #3
Material #2
Material #3
Save
Quit


SVBRDF Shade Tree Viewer/Editor
Scale:
0.595
Gamma:
2.000
Material #1
Term #1
Term #2
Term #3
Material #2
Material #3
Save
Quit


Σ
X
Σ
Σ
X
θ_h (=H·N)
θ_d (=H·V)


SVBRDF Shader Tree Viewer/Editor
Scale
0.595
Gamma
2.000
Material #1
Term #1
Term #2
Term #3
Material #2
Material #3
Material #4
Material #5
Save
Quit

Summary

- **representation goals:**
  - **compact**
  - **editable**
  - **supports rendering**
- **basis decomposition**
  - **parametric**
  - **non-parametric**

Summary

- **sparse/scattered data**
- **interpolation**
- **flexibility**
- **local minima**

Summary

- **sparse/scattered data**
- **interpolation**
- **flexibility**
- **local minima**

Summary

- sparse/scattered data
- interpolation
- **flexibility/accuracy**
- local minima

Summary

- sparse/scattered data
- interpolation
- flexibility
- **local minima**

Future Directions

- higher-dimensional datasets
  - subsurface scattering / reflectance field
  - time-varying properties
  - etc.
- rigorous probabilistic framework
- measurement
  - synchronous shape + appearance
  - lowering calibration burden

Future Directions

- higher-dimensional datasets
  - subsurface scattering / reflectance field
  - time-varying properties
  - etc.
- rigorous probabilistic framework
- measurement
  - synchronous shape + appearance
  - lowering calibration burden

Future Directions

- higher-dimensional datasets
  - subsurface scattering / reflectance field
  - time-varying properties
  - etc.
- rigorous probabilistic framework
- measurement
  - synchronous shape + appearance
  - lowering calibration burden

Future Directions

- higher-dimensional datasets
  - subsurface scattering / reflectance field
  - time-varying properties
  - etc.
- rigorous probabilistic framework
- measurement
  - synchronous shape + appearance
  - lowering calibration burden

From BSSRDFs to 8D Reflectance Fields

Hendrik P. A. Lensch
MPI Informatik

Text-Only Slide

- As fonts I chose what I found on a freshly installed Windows XP, so you should have it:
  - Palatino Linotype
  - Microsoft Sans Serif
- Top-level bullets are 28 points (smaller than the PowerPoint default)
- Bullets are cyan
- They have 110% line spacing, 6 pt before/after

Digitizing Real World Objects



relighting with arbitrary illumination patterns

Relighting



one image for each light direction

Relighting



superposition

Reflectance Fields



arbitrary materials, but single view point

Reflectance Field – 6D

[Debevec2000]



arbitrary materials, arbitrary geomety

Bidirectional Texture Functions

[Dana1997]



arbitrary materials, surface patch

BTF Acquisition Devices



[Sattler2003]



[Mueller2005]

Far-Field Reflectance Fields

[Debevec2000]



arbitrary materials, but distant light sources only

Far- vs. Near-Field Illumination

6D Reflectance Fields



relighting with 4D incident light fields

8D Reflectance Fields



arbitrary materials +

arbitrary view point + arbitrary illumination

Definition – Reflectance Field

8D function = BSSRDF

Definition – Reflectance Field

ratio of reflected radiance to incident flux

Main Problem

- sampling an *8D function*
  - spending 100 samples/dimension
    → 10^{16} samples
  - hi-res 3D geometry: 10^8 vertices



- coherence in reflectance fields

→ reduced data complexity

- no complete solution yet

Approaches

- limited reflectance model
- limited reproduction
  - viewer position
  - incident illuminaton
- adaptive parallel acquisition
- advanced interpolation

Relighting with 4D Incident Light Fields

- goal: relighting with spatially varying illumination, e.g. spot lights



Acquisition with Large Blocks

- fixed camera perspective
- rotating illumination

Relighting Results

Translucent Objects

- light transport through the object
- scattering dampens high frequencies

BSSRDF – 8D

bidirectional scattering-surface reflectance distribution function [Nicodemus77]



Diffuse Approximation

neglect directional dependency [Jensen 2001]

- multiple scattering leads to diffuse light transport



4D - Diffuse Approximation

⇒ diffuse reflectance function

- four dimensions only
- dense sampling is possible



Diffuse Reflectance Fucntion R_d

- discretize the surface
  - enumerate all surface points
  - vectors for irradiance and radiosity
- matrix
  - linear point-to-point transport

Basic Idea

- direct measurement of R_d
  - illuminate individual surface points
  - capture impulse response function





Basic Idea

- direct measurement of R_d
  - illuminate individual surface points
  - capture impulse response function



Basic Idea

- direct measurement of R_d
  - illuminate individual surface points
  - capture impulse response function





laser projector
HDR camera

Matrix Representation

- 500.000 – 1.000.000 input images

  $\Rightarrow$ ~100.000^2 entries

- fill up holes (inpainting)
- hierarchical representation
- hardware assisted rendering
  - analysis
  - real-time rendering

[Lensch, Goesele, Bekaert, Magnor, Lang, Seidel – PG2003]

Video

1.000.000 images, 22 hours → model - 800MB

[Goesele, Lensch, Lang, Fuchs, Seidel - SIGGRAPH 2004]

Fixed Perspective + Arbitrary Illumination



Pixel-to-Pixel Transport



Adaptive Parallel Acquisition

- assumption: sparse matrix
- radiometrically independent blocks can be sensed in parallel



Adaptive Parallel Acquisition

parallelized acquisition of regions which do not overlap in the camera image

projector pattern



camera image

Adaptive Parallel Acquisition

parallelized acquisition of regions which do not overlap in the camera image



Relighting with Arbitrary Patterns

1.200 images, 2 hours → model - 220MB

Captured Global Light Transport

Helmholtz Reciprocity



Image Acquisition without a Camera



Image Acquisition without a Camera



Dual Photography

photograph from camera



dual image from projector



[Sen, Chen, Garg, Marschner, Horowitz, Levoy, Lensch - SIGGRAPH 2005]

Examples

primal

dual

Related Techniques

- "Flying-spot" TV camera [Baird 1926]
- scanning electron microscope



[Baird 1926]



35x magnification
[Museum of Science, Boston]

Relighting with Dual Photography



Relighting with Dual Photography



Acquisition of 6D Reflectance Fields



Dual Acquisition Process

parallel acquisition by passive devices



Smooth Interpolation

100.000 images, 26 hours → model - 4.5GB



[Chen, Lensch - VMV2005]

8D Reflectance Fields



arbitrary view point + arbitrary illumination

$\mathcal{H}$-Matrices

[Hackbusch2000]



efficient representation of dense but *data-sparse* matrices

- subdivision hierarchy
- local low-rank approximation
- efficient evaluation

Direct vs. Indirect Reflections

Direct vs. Indirect Reflections





Direct vs. Indirect Reflections



2D Slices through a Reflectance Field

Symmetric Acquisition



- symmetric 8th order tensor
- rank-1 approximation from two images only
- parallel acquisition of dense matrices

[Garg, Talvala, Levoy, Lensch – EGSR06]

Symmetric Exploration



B3 – row sums

B2 – rows+columns



B3 – column sums

B1 – rows+columns

Symmetric Exploration



B3 – row sums

B2 – rows+columns



B3 – column sums

B1 – rows+columns

rank-1 approximation?

Hierarchical Rank-1Decomposition

already determined

radiometrically independent

B1 and B2 are investigated in parallel.

parallel acquisition even for dense matrices

Dual vs. Symmetric Photography



Dual Photography

Symmetric Photography

- increased SNR because regions are determined at large block sizes

An 8D Reflectance Field

3.300 images, 6 hours → model - 1.4 GB

Virtual Photography

- reflectance fields of arbitrarily complex scenes



novel illumination original acquisiton pattern

[Garg, Talvala, Levoy, Lensch – EGSR 2006]

Application of Near-field Reflectance Fields

- getting rid of global effects



compare [Nayar2006]

Application to 3D Scanning

[Chen, Fuchs, Lensch, Seidel – CVPR 2007]

Card Experiment



primal



Card Experiment



primal



Card Experiment



primal

dual

Near-Field Reflectance Fields

- Sequential Sampling
- Dual Photography
- Symmetric Photography based on $\mathscr{H}$-matrices

- first methods for acquiring the global light transport in arbitrary scenes

Challenges

- densely sampled 8D reflectance fields
- upsampling / interpolation
- dynamic near-field reflectance fields
- interactive relighting
- global illumination with reflectance fields
- theory on the complexity of reflectance fields

Thanks

- BMBF (FKC01IMC01)
- DFG - Emmy Noether Program

http://mpi-inf.mpg.de/~lensch

The Human Face Scanner Project

Tim Weyrich
Princeton University

Facial Appearance Acquisition

"Grand challenge" in appearance acquisition:

- Complex reflectance and scattering properties
- *In vivo* measurements required
- High expectation by the observer
- Appearance editing desirable

Analysis of Human Faces

Analysis of Human Faces Using a Measurement-Based Skin Reflectance Model
[Weyrich et al. 2006]

joint work at
ETH Zurich, Switzerland,
and Mitsubishi Electric Research Laboratories, Cambride, MA

Photograph

Rendered

Objectives

- Capture facial appearance



Objectives

- Capture facial appearance
- Reconstruct realistic face models

Photograph Rendered

Objectives

- Capture facial appearance
- Reconstruct realistic face models
- High-level controls to alter appearance

Target Skin

Altered Appearance

Capturing Face Appearance

- Explicit Modeling
  - Geometry + texture
    [PIGHIN ET AL. 1998]

[PIGHIN ET AL. 1998]

- Image-based Methods
  - Reflectance fields
    [DEBEVEC ET AL. 2000],
    [HAWKINS ET AL. 2004]

[HAWKINS ET AL. 2004]

Skin Reflectance Models



- BRDF *(bi-directional reflectance distribution function)*
  - BRDF approximation of scattering [HANRAHAN AND KRUEGER 1993], [STAM 2001]
  - Image-based BRDF [MARSCHNER ET AL. 1999]
- BSSRDF *(bi-directional surface scattering reflectance distribution function)*
  - Single-layered skin model [JENSEN ET AL. 2001]
  - Multi-layered skin model [DONNER AND JENSEN 2005/2006]
- BTF *(bi-directional texture function)*
  - Spatially varying reflectance of skin patches [CULA AND DANA 2002]

Appearance Editing

- Image-based editing
  - Manual editing by skilled artists
  - Melanin/hemoglobin model [Tsumura et al. 2003]

[Tsumura et al. 2003]

- Morphable face model [Blanz and Vetter 1999], [Fuchs et al. 2005]

[Blanz and Vetter 1999]

Production Environment

- Gemini Man
  [Williams et al. 2005]
- Hulk, Harry Potter II
  [Hery 2003/2005]
- Matrix
  [Borshukov 2003]
- Spider Man II
  [Sagar et al. 2004]

© ILM

[Hery 2003]

[Borshukov 2003]

Project Contributions

- Acquisition hardware for the facial BSSRDF
  - Translucency measurements
  - Facial reflectance fields
- Practical skin model to be fitted
  - Simple, but realistic
  - Suited for production environments
- Analysis of physiological parameters
- Intuitive appearance editing framework

Outline

- Skin appearance acquisition
- Face data processing
- Reflectance Model Fit
- Reflectance Analysis
- Appearance Transfer

Skin Appearance

- Skin most important for facial appearance
- Main effects due to skin's translucent layers
- Light transport affected by
  - Air/skin interface (reflectance/refraction)
  - Epidermis, Dermis (scattering/absorption)



Reflectance Acquisition



Translucency Measurements

Reflectance Field + Geometry

Skin Reflectance

Modulated BSSRDF

Surface BRDF

"BSSRDF Gun"

- Subcutaneous light transport measurements
- Measures translucency (mean free path ℓ)
  - Contact measurements
  - Light transport through optical fibers
- Suction pump ensures contact

“BSSRDF Gun”


Stabilized light source
Intensity reduction
Light feeding fiber
Calibration fiber
HDR Camera

Reflectance Field Acquisition

- Spherical acquisition dome
  - 16 cameras @ 1300 x 1030
  - 150 LED light sources
  - Commercial 3D scanner



Reflectance Field Acquisition

- Spherical acquisition dome
  - 16 cameras @ 1300 x 1030
  - 150 LED light sources
  - Commercial 3D scanner
- Dual-exposure HDR

Reflectance Field Acquisition

- Spherical acquisition dome
  - 16 cameras @ 1300 x 1030
  - 150 LED light sources
  - Commercial 3D scanner
- Dual-exposure HDR
- 25 seconds

Sample Reflectance Field

(images before radiometric correction)

Outline

- Skin appearance acquisition
- Face data processing
- Reflectance Model Fit
- Reflectance Analysis
- Appearance Transfer

Overview





Overview


Raw 3D Geometry
Geometry Cleaning
Cleaned Geometry
Reflectance Images
Calibrations
Lumitexel Generation
Lumitexels



Overview


Raw 3D Geometry
Geometry Cleaning
Cleaned Geometry
Reflectance Images
Calibrations
Lumitexel Generation
Lumitexels
Normal Estimation
Normal Map

Overview


Raw 3D Geometry
Geometry Cleaning
Cleaned Geometry
Geometry Refinement
Reflectance Images
Calibrations
Lumitexel Generation
Normal Estimation
Lumitexels
Normal Map

Overview


Raw 3D Geometry
Geometry Cleaning
Cleaned Geometry
Geometry Refinement
Reflectance Images
Calibrations
Lumitexel Generation
Normal Estimation
Lumitexels
Normal Map
Translucency Measurements
Model Fit

Overview


Raw 3D Geometry
Geometry Cleaning
Cleaned Geometry
Geometry Refinement
Reflectance Images
Calibrations
Lumitexel Generation
Normal Estimation
Lumitexels
Normal Map
Translucency Measurements
Model Fit

Camera Calibration

- Intrinsics
  - Using Intel OpenCV library
  - Based on checker-board images
- Extrinsics
  - n-camera calibration (n = 16)
  - Euclidian bundle optimization
  - Correspondences from LED swept through volume

Camera Calibration

- Vignetting & color calibration
  - Radial image intensity fall-off
  - Relative sensor calibration of all cameras
  - Affine color correction model [FUNT 2000]
  - Equalizes images taken under identical conditions
- Radiometric calibration
  - Implicitly through light source calibration

Light Source Calibration

- Desired parameters
  - Light source color
  - Light cone fall-off
- Fluorilon™ reflectance target
  - Perfect diffuse reflector
  - Reflects 99.9% of incident radiance
- Reflectance fields of different orientations
- Fitting 2nd-order polynomial to spot cross-section

"BSSRDF Gun" Calibration

- Relative fiber transmittance
  - Light table with opal glass diffuser
- Black image calibration
- Irradiance calibration
  - Skim milk as secondary standard
  - Values as measured by [Jensen et al. 2001]







Overview


Raw 3D Geometry
Geometry Cleaning
Cleaned Geometry
Geometry Refinement
Reflectance Images
Calibrations
Lumitexel Generation
Normal Estimation
Lumitexels
Normal Map
Translucency Measurements
Model Fit

Geometry Refinement

- Geometry and normal information crucial
- Normal estimation
  - Photometric stereo
  - Lambertian assumption
  - Problem: bias, discontinuities
- Normal and geometry improvement adapting [NEHAB ET AL. 2005]

Geometry Refinement

Geometric normals
high-frequency error prone

low-frequencies

16 improved normal maps

One normal map

Improved geometry

high-frequencies

Photometric stereo normals
low-frequency error prone



Weight maps

Geometry Refinement

3D Scanner

Improved geometry

Photograph

Outline

- Skin appearance acquisition
- Face data processing
- Reflectance Model Fit
- Reflectance Analysis
- Appearance Transfer

Skin Reflectance Model

Skin Reflectance

\+

Diffuse Subsurface Scattering (BSSRDF)

Specular Reflection (BRDF)



Skin Reflectance Model

Skin Reflectance = Diffuse Subsurface Scattering (BSSRDF) + Specular Reflection (BRDF)

Dipole Approximation [Jensen et al. 2001]

- Analytic model
- Isotropic scattering in homogeneous materials
- Modulation texture M [Goesele et al. 2004]

Torrance-Sparrow BRDF

- Physically based
- Micro-facets

Model Fit

Skin Reflectance

\+

Diffuse Subsurface Scattering (BSSRDF)

Specular Reflection (BRDF)

\+

Translucency measurements

Face Reconstruction

Photograph

Reconstruction

Reconstruction

Photograph

Reconstruction

Reconstruction

Photograph

Reconstruction

Face Reconstruction

Face Reconstruction



Outline

- Skin appearance acquisition
- Face data processing
- Reflectance Model Fit
- Reflectance Analysis
- Appearance Transfer

The Face Database

- Scanned 149 subjects

- Classification by
  - Skin type, gender, age, …
  - Facial region



- Analysis of variation in model parameters

Translucency Variance

- Model validation
  - Facial scattering is isotropic



Cheek Forehead Abdomen

Translucency Variance

- Model validation
  - Facial scattering is isotropic
- Spatial translucency variance minute



Translucency Variance

- Model validation
  - Facial scattering is isotropic
- Spatial translucency variance minute
- Inter-subject variance negligible
  - Small variance between males and females



Min Translucency

Max Translucency

Spatial BRDF Variance





Skin Trait Variations



(o/◊) = (m/f), size = age, color = skin type

- Canonical Correlation Analysis (CCA)
  - Directions of maximal correlation in BRDF parameter space
- BRDF: correlates with *skin type* and *gender*
- Albedo: highly correlated with *skin type*

Outline

- Skin appearance acquisition
- Face data processing
- Reflectance Model Fit
- Reflectance Analysis
- Appearance Transfer

Appearance Editing

- From parameter observations derive intuitive user controls
  - Main tool: texture synthesis [HEEGER AND BERGEN 1995], [MATUSIK ET AL. 2005]
  - Applicable to all model parameter types
  - Add freckles, moles, gloss variations, …
- General appearance editing framework

Results Appearance Transfer

Target face

Freckles applied

Results Appearance Transfer

Target face

Changed skin type

Results Appearance Transfer

Target face

Lotion applied, Stubbles reduced

Contributions

- Acquisition hardware for the facial BSSRDF
  - Translucency measurements
  - Facial reflectance fields
- Practical skin model to be fitted
  - Simple, but realistic
  - Suited for production environments
- Analysis of physiological parameters
- Intuitive data-driven appearance editing framework
- Published appearance database of human faces

Potential Extensions

- Facial hair
  - Eye-brows, eye-lashes
  - Beard, stubbles
  - Velvety hair

- Spectral measurements
- Multi-layered model using additional model assumptions (e.g. [Donner and Jensen 2006])

- *Will increasing measurement accuracy increase the perceived degree of realism?*

Q & A

Appearance database online at:
http://www.merl.com/facescanning/